

Proposed 2016 Program Guide Scoring Summary Table

| Scoring Summary Table | | Draft Changes | Maximum Possible Points |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------|
| 4.1 Capacity of Development Team | | | 74 |
| | 4.1.1 Development Team Experience | Nothing substantive | 42 points |
| | 4.1.2 Deductions from Team Experience Score | Nothing substantive | Negative 10 points |
| | 4.1.3 Developer Financial Capacity | Nothing substantive | 18 points |
| | 4.1.4 Nonprofits (NPs), Public Housing Authorities (PHAs) and Minority/ Disadvantaged Business Enterprises (MBE/DBEs) | Expanded scoring to make it easier to get maximum points by involving more entities. | 14 points |
| 4.2 Community Context | | | 28 |
| | 4.2.1 Community Impact Projects* | Clarifying definition to promote location of projects in areas with defined Community Revitalization Plan. | 16 points* |
| | 4.2.2 Communities of Opportunity* | Nothing substantive | 16 points* |
| | 4.2.3 Transit Oriented Development | Expanded scoring to make it easier to get maximum points in non-urban areas | 8 points |
| | 4.2.4 BRAC, Rural, Sustainable Defined Planning Area | Defined Planning Areas now those areas outside Communities of Opportunities and QCT/DDAs. | 4 points |
| 4.3 Public Purpose | | | 51 |
| | 4.3.1 Income Targeting | Expanded scoring to provide advantage for projects in Communities of Opportunity | 14 points |
| | 4.3.2 Targeted Populations: Non-Elderly PWD or Special Needs | Added preferences for homeless and veterans | 10 points |
| | 4.3.3 Family Housing | Raised the bar on qualification to require units with more Bedrooms | 8 points |
| | 4.3.4 Elderly Housing in a Defined Planning Area | NEW Category | 3 points |
| | 4.3.5 Tenant Services | Nothing substantive | 8 points |
| | 4.3.6 Mixed Income Housing | Same point scale; easier for projects in Communities of Opportunity and Defined Planning Areas to get points | 4 points |
| | 4.3.7 Preservation of Existing Affordable Housing | New Scoring Max of 4 points; increased from 2 points; scoring scale favors Communities of Opportunity and Defined Planning Areas over QCT/DDAs | 4 points |
| 4.4 Leveraging and Cost-Effectiveness | | | 20 |
| | 4.4.1 Direct Leveraging | Same point scale; easier for projects in Communities of Opportunity to get points | 10 points |
| | 4.4.2 Operating Subsidies | Nothing substantive | 10 points |
| | 4.4.3 Construction or Rehabilitation Cost Incentives | Looking to revamp; still in process; no scoring change anticipated | Negative 8 points |
| 4.5 Development Quality | | | 31 |
| | 4.5.1 Green Features | Tweaks still in process; no scoring change anticipated | 12 points |
| | 5.5.2 Brownfields Redevelopment | Deleted | 1 point |
| | 5.5.2 Energy and Water Conservation | Tweaks still in process; no scoring change anticipated | 4 points |
| | 5.5.3 Project Durability and Enhancements | Tweaks still in process; no scoring change anticipated | 15 points |
| 4.6 State Bonus Points (maximum of 10 points) [#] | | | See note |
| Total | | | 204 |
| * Project cannot receive points under both Community Impact and Communities of Opportunity categories. | | | |
| # State Bonus Points may be awarded outside of the 204 point scale. | | | |